Reaction Intermediates in the Solution Photochemistry of a Tetrarhodium Carbonyl Cluster: FTIR Structural Characterization and Transient Kinetics
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The photochemistry of the tetraneurhodium carbonyl cluster, Rh4(CO)12, in heptane solution has been studied using pulsed laser photoexcitation coupled to time-resolved step-scan FTIR spectrometry. It was found that excitation of the cluster with 266 nm light results in CO dissociation to form a Rh4(CO)11(Solv) species, transformations of which were observed in the nanosecond time domain. Monitoring the reaction course revealed the presence of two successive transients, I and II, which eventually reform the parent cluster. The FTIR spectrometric study showed that these transients represent two isomeric forms of the Rh4(CO)11(Solv) species. The second transient exhibits the structure typical of ground-state substituted Rh4(CO)11(L) derivatives with the solvent molecule in an axial position of the basal plane of the metal tetrahedron. The first isomer is a "nonequilibrium" form of the same species where the solvent molecule occupies either a radial site at the basal rhodium triangle or a vacancy at the apical rhodium atom. The kinetics of the reaction sequence is consistent with a unimolecular isomerization of I into II and trapping of the latter by CO evolved at earlier stage of reaction. In the presence of excess CO in the reaction mixture the first transient is effectively scavenged to form Rh4(CO)12. The kinetic parameters of the isomerization process and the trapping of I by CO have been determined.

Introduction

Transition metal clusters remain somewhat unusual and intriguing chemical entities in organometallic chemistry. The presence of several metal atoms in the coordination core of the clusters provides structural complexity and extreme diversity in their chemical reactivity. Up to now advances in the synthesis and structural characterization of metal clusters in solid state and in solution have prevailed over progress in investigations of their reactivity and reaction mechanisms. The most recent reviews in the field demonstrate this imbalance in knowledge of metal cluster chemistry.1 This is particularly evident in their photochemical properties where most information has been obtained for simplest tri- and tetramer clusters. The mechanistic features of photochemical transformations occurring in these polynuclear complexes have been studied either by the matrix isolation technique2–5 or by a photokinetic approach based on the treatment of quantum yield dependence on the concentration of reaction components.6–12 These days, direct information about the chemical behavior of transient species formed after photoexcitation can be obtained by flash photolysis experiments. However, quantitative data of this sort are rarely found in the transition metal cluster literature.9,13,14 To some extent this lack of information about transient species formed after photoexcitation of the polynuclear complexes is due to the fact that the UV–vis probe techniques commonly used in organic compounds containing carbonyl groups such as the alkyl phenylglyoxyloxyIates15 has clearly demonstrated the value of this approach and in this paper we present the results of structural characterization and nanosecond kinetic data for transients generated by photoexcitation of a tetraneurhodium carbonyl cluster, Rh4(CO)12, in a hydrocarbon solvent.

Experimental Section

Materials. Anhydrous heptane was purchased from Aldrich, and Rh4(CO)12 from Strem. Both were used without further purification.

Flash Photolysis Experiments. Details of the nanosecond TRFTIR spectrometer have been published.19 All measurements were carried out at 20 °C. In a typical experiment, a saturated solution of Rh4(CO)12 in heptane (2.1 mM, 20 °C) was circulated by a peristaltic pump from a buffer vessel through a 0.2 mm path length flow cell with CaF2 windows. The laser excitation beam (355 or 266 nm, ca. 10 mm in diameter) with energy up to 15 mJ per pulse was directed to the sample cell where it overlapped the infrared probe beam. This latter covered the 1350–2350 cm−1 interval (4 cm−1 resolution). The sample cell was positioned in the sample compartment of a Bruker "Equinox" step scan FTIR spectrometer, modified for nanosecond time resolution. Two regimes of the data acquisition were
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used. In the short time experiments (10 μs time window) 500 IR spectra were acquired every 20 ns after a laser pulse. In the slower time domain the time interval between acquisitions was 500 ns (250 μs time window). The stability of the Rh₄(CO)₁₂ solution under the exposure conditions was controlled by measuring the IR spectrum before and after completion of the experiment. No significant decomposition of the sample was detected.

In the experiments requiring variable CO concentrations, solutions were presaturated with standard CO/Ar gas mixtures for 15 min. The same gas mixture was bubbled through the buffer vessel to keep the CO concentration constant during the course of the experiment. The concentration of CO in solution was calculated using the literature data on CO solubility in heptane.

Results

The UV–vis spectrum of Rh₄(CO)₁₂ in heptane, Figure 1, consists of an intense short wavelength ultraviolet absorption (λₘₐₓ < 200 nm) with two longer wavelength shoulders localized at 303 and 330 nm. In an earlier study of the UV–vis spectra and electronic structure of Rh₄(CO)₁₂, the short wavelength absorption was assigned to an MLCT transition and the shoulders arose from σ−σ* transitions between metal–metal bonding and antibonding orbitals. It has been reported that in trinuclear carbonyl clusters of the iron subgroup, excitation in the MLCT band results in CO dissociation, whereas excitation in the σ−σ* band generated intermediates with a broken metal–metal bond.

In our experiments, excitation of the Rh₄(CO)₁₂ solutions in heptane (see Experimental Section) with 266 nm or with 355 nm laser pulses showed no qualitative differences in the spectroscopic and kinetic characteristics of the transients observed except that signal amplitudes were higher using the former wavelength. Therefore, 266 nm excitation was used throughout to optimize the signal-to-noise ratio in the FTIR difference spectra. In a typical experiment, spectra in the 1800−2100 cm⁻¹ range were acquired every 20 ns within a time window of 10 μs. A perspective view of a typical dynamic surface, A(T,t), is shown in Figure S1 (Supporting Information). Immediately after the laser pulse the IR spectrum showed depletion of the Rh₄(CO)₁₂ cluster ground-state absorption (bleaching of the 2074, 2042, and 1886 cm⁻¹ bands) accompanied by positive absorption bands at 2054, 2036, 2009, 1902, and 1866 cm⁻¹, corresponding to the first transient (I), see Figure 2. These bands reached their maximum amplitude within the time resolution of the instrument (ca 20 ns). Subsequent decay of the bands assigned to transient I was accompanied by growth of a spectral pattern characterized by absorptions at 2093, 2060, 2037, 2027, 2014, 1876, and 1858 cm⁻¹. These bands are attributed to transient II (Figure 3). The conversion of the spectral pattern of I in to that of II was not accompanied by any repopulation of the ground-state bleaching bands (Figures 3 and 4).

The kinetic properties of transients I and II were followed by extracting the time profiles at 2054 and 2061 cm⁻¹, respectively (Figure 4), from the data array. Averaging the data obtained in three experiments gave rate constants of (4.8 ± 0.1) × 10⁵ and (5.2 ± 0.2) × 10⁵ s⁻¹ for the decay at 2054 cm⁻¹ and the growth at 2061 cm⁻¹, respectively, when the time profile for the growth of II was corrected for its subsequent decay (see Figure 5). The close similarity of these decay and growth rate constants is indicative that transient II is a product of the decay of I and during this conversion there is no regeneration of the starting material.

With excess CO present in the sample, the time-resolved FTIR spectra showed a rapid conversion of I into Rh₄(CO)₁₂ without formation of appreciable amount of the transient II. This trapping of transient I by dissolved CO (even at somewhat low CO concentration) made it impossible to follow the reaction between

Figure 1. UV–vis spectrum of Rh₄(CO)₁₂ in heptane solution.

Figure 2. Infrared spectrum obtained by averaging the first 10 slices in a short time TR IR experiment (10 μs duration). Two structures shown in the figure schematically present possible locations of the solvent molecule in transient I (see text).
CO and transient II. Nevertheless the bimolecular reaction of I with CO under first-order conditions was followed by measuring the rate constant ($k_{obs}$) for the decay of I at 2054 cm$^{-1}$ as a function of [CO]. A plot of $k_{obs}$ vs [CO] is shown in Figure 6.

Discussion

The above results can be discussed in terms of the following schematic reaction scheme

$$\text{Rh}_4(\text{CO})_{12} \xrightarrow{\text{hv}} \text{Tr I} \xrightarrow{\text{fast}} \text{Tr II} \xrightarrow{\text{slow}} \text{Tr II} \xrightarrow{\text{slow}} \text{Rh}_4(\text{CO})_{12}$$

Absorption of light at 266 or 355 nm results in the eventual formation of I, which decays to form II, after which II decays to reform Rh$_4$(CO)$_{12}$. The instrumental time resolution does not allow the observation of intermediates preceding transient I on times shorter than 20 ns. Such subnanosecond processes will surely include vibrational relaxation of the molecular excited state as well as various ligand sphere and solvent shell reorganizations. However, on the basis of the data obtained, we believe that transients I and II are responsible for the reactivity of Rh$_4$(CO)$_{12}$ in the substitution photochemistry of this cluster.

Monitoring the reaction course using FTIR spectrometry allows structural characterization of both transients. The spectrum in Figure 3 is an average of the first 10 time slices (10 μs duration). The structure shown in this figure schematically presents locations of the solvent molecule in transient II (see text).

Figure 3. Infrared spectrum obtained by averaging the last 10 slices in a short time TR IR experiment (10 μs duration). The structure shown in this figure schematically presents locations of the solvent molecule in transient II (see text).

Figure 4. Time profiles of transient I (2054 cm$^{-1}$) and transient II (2061 cm$^{-1}$).

Figure 5. Time profiles of transient II (2060 cm$^{-1}$) and Rh$_4$(CO)$_{12}$ (2074 cm$^{-1}$).

Figure 6. Dependence of the transient I decay rate constant on CO concentration. Experimental data are given in black rectangles; the straight line represents the best linear fit.
bands are assigned to vibrational transitions in II, which has been generated from I (see also Table 1). The spectral bands of transient II lead to an assignment of its structure, based on similarity of this IR pattern with those obtained for structurally and spectroscopically characterized Rh$_4$(CO)$_{11}$(L) clusters where L = PPh$_3$, P(OPh)$_3$.

The relative positions and intensities of the bands attributed to II closely match the features of the IR spectra of the Rh$_4$(CO)$_{11}$(L) derivatives, in which the phosphine heteroligands occupy an axial site at rhodium atoms in the basal (CO-bridged) triangle of the metal tetrahedron. Comparison of columns 2 and 3 in Table 1 shows the strong similarity between the Rh$_4$(CO)$_{11}$PPh$_3$ spectrum and that assigned to II. Taking into account the high sensitivity of the IR patterns of carbonyl clusters to the degree of CO ligand substitution and the location of the heteroligand (i.e., the symmetry of the CO environment), it is reasonable to conclude that II has the Rh$_4$(CO)$_{11}$(solv) composition and the structure schematically shown in Figure 3, where the solvent molecule occupies an axial position in the CO-bridged cluster face. These observations clearly indicate that irradiation into the MLCT band of Rh$_4$(CO)$_{12}$ eventually results in the dissociation of a CO molecule, leading to a solvent-substituted intermediate that is structurally closely analogous to ground-state monosubstituted derivatives of this cluster. This type of the photochemical behavior has been observed earlier for the tri-$2,3,8,11,18$ and heptan-$2,3,8,11,18$ derivatives of this cluster. This type of intramolecular dynamics is universally accepted as the description of isomerization and scrambling processes in transition metal carbonyl clusters and it is proposed that a similar mechanism is operative here.

It is also noteworthy that the independently determined rate of CO ligand scrambling in the ground-state Rh$_4$(CO)$_{12}$ species is in good agreement with the lifetime of the I→II transformation. The room-temperature rate constant of CO migration around the Rh$_4$ skeleton as determined by dynamic $^{13}$C NMR spectroscopy was $1.29 \times 10^9$ s$^{-1}$. This is less than 3 times slower than the dynamics of the observed I→II rearrangement. As mentioned above, the mechanisms of both processes can be described in analogous ways and similarity of the kinetic parameters found for these dynamics supports strongly the chemical models suggested for the structures of transients I and II and their transformations in solution. It seems possible that the slightly higher reactivity of I in an essentially similar process is due to the presence of a “lightly bound” solvent molecule in the coordination sphere of this species.

In the reaction of I with CO, the concentration dependence of $k_{\text{obs}}$ can be expressed by the usual competition kinetics expression, viz.

$$k_{\text{obs}} = k_1 + k_2[\text{CO}]$$

(1)

In accord with eq 1 the values of $k_{\text{obs}}$ were linear with [CO] (Figure 6). From the linear plot, values of $k_1 = (5.4 \pm 0.4) \times 10^8$ s$^{-1}$ and $k_2 = (9.0 \pm 0.2) \times 10^8$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ were extracted. The CO-independent rate constant ($k_1$) extrapolated from the regression analysis is in good agreement with the direct observation of the decay of transient I (Figure 5), as required by the arguments invoked above. The bimolecular rate constant, $k_2$, arises from the trapping of transient I by CO to give the starting Rh$_4$(CO)$_{12}$ cluster. Under the conditions of excess substituted ligand (solvent), both dissociative and associative channels yield first order dependence on the CO concentration. However, a dissociative mechanism seems to be more probable compared to an associative substitution because of the very weak coordination of hydrocarbon molecules in transition metal complexes. The value of $k_2 = (9.0 \pm 0.2) \times 10^8$ s$^{-1}$ M$^{-1}$ is very close to the bimolecular rate constant obtained for the trapping of the photogenerated {Ru$_3$(CO)$_{11}$} dissociative species $(2.4 \times 10^9$ s$^{-1}$ M$^{-1}$) by CO in hydrocarbon solvents.

The proposed reaction sequence for the photoinitiated transformations of the Rh$_4$(CO)$_{12}$ cluster on the nanosecond time scale is

$$\text{Rh}_4(\text{CO})_{12} \xrightarrow{h\nu, 266 \text{ nm}} \text{Tr I} \xrightarrow{k_1} \text{Tr II} \xrightarrow{+\text{CO}} \text{Rh}_4(\text{CO})_{12}$$

The absence of the transient I scavenging by CO in the experiments without addition of free CO to the starting solution can be explained by the relative magnitudes of the $k_1$ and $k_2[\text{CO}]$ terms in eq 1 when the only source of CO concentration arises from the photodissociation reaction. The typical value of [CO] generated from the reactant under flash photolysis condi-
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...tions would be near 10 \mu M. Combining this with \( k_2 \approx 10^3 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} \) yields a \( k_2[\text{CO}] \) term of \( \approx 10^4 \text{ s}^{-1} \), some 50\times less than the value found for \( k_1 \). Thus the first term on the RHS of eq 1 dominates and the decay of I shows no second order contribution. Transient II is substantially longer lived and apparently reacts with CO to regenerate the starting material as its sole reaction product.

One of the most interesting features of the scheme given above is that photodissociation of CO occurs from a position different from that normally observed in thermal substitution reactions. The relaxation of excited species (in the absence of substituting ligands) results in an isomerization process to give a stabilized (thermodynamic) structure. However, it is possible to trap nonequilibrium structures provided that an excess of substituting species is presented and the isomerization of a stabilized (thermodynamic) structure. This observation shows that, at least in principle, photochemistry may give rise to unusual structures and reactivity patterns, which are different from those generated in thermal substitution reactions. The relaxation of excited species (in the absence of substituting ligands) results in an isomerization process to give reaction product.

**Supporting Information Available:** A perspective view of a typical dynamic surface, \( A(\nu,t) \), is shown in Figure S1. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.
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